CHELTENHAM BOROUGH COUNCIL



AIR QUALITY REVIEW AND ASSESSMENT PROGRESS REPORT 2008

Part IV of the Environment Act 1995, Local Air Quality Management

Produced with assistance from the Air Quality Management Resource Centre, University of the West of England, Bristol.



| CLIENT(S) | Cheltenham Borough Council, Gloucestershire | | | | | |
|---------------|---|------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| UWE CONTACT | Air Quality Ma | nagement Reso | urce Centre, | | | |
| | Faculty of Env | ironment and Te | echnology, | | | |
| | University of the | ne West of Engla | and, | | | |
| | Frenchay Carr | ipus, | | | | |
| | Coldharbour L | ane, | | | | |
| | Bristol, | | | | | |
| | BS16 1QY | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Tel: 0117 32 8 | 3825 | | | | |
| | Fax: 0117 32 83002 | | | | | |
| | Web: <u>www.uwe.ac.uk/aqm</u> | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| COLLABORATION | N/A | | | | | |
| CONTACT | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| PROJECT TEAM | Dr. Enda Hayes (UWE) (Author/Contact) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| DOCUMENT | STATUS | DATE | DESCRIPTION | | | |
| STATUS | Draft report | May 2008 | Circulated to Cheltenham for comments | | | |
| | Final report | June 2008 | Hardcopies (x 2) and electronic (pdf) | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Document Control Sheet

CONTENTS

| Table | e of Contents | Page Number |
|-------|---|-------------|
| 1: | Introduction to Local Air Quality Management Progress Reports | 1 |
| 2: | Minimum Requirements | 5 |
| 2.1: | New monitoring results for Cheltenham Borough Council | 5 |
| 2.1.1 | :Monitoring data summary | 8 |
| 2.2: | New local developments | 9 |
| 2.2.1 | New development summary | 10 |
| 3: | Recommended additional elements | 11 |
| Appe | ndix 1: Air Quality Monitoring Locations | 15 |
| Appe | ndix 2: Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube Data | 17 |
| A2.1: | Calculation of the 2007 bias adjustment factor | 17 |
| A2.2: | Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube Data (2007) | 19 |
| A2.3: | Assessment of air quality trends | 20 |
| Appe | ndix 3: AQMS data from Cheltenham Borough Council | 21 |
| A3.1: | Calibration process for nitrogen oxides analyser | 21 |
| Appe | ndix 4: Map of proposed NW Cheltenham Extension area | 24 |

List of Tables

Page Number

| Table 1: Minimum Reporting Requirements | 2 |
|---|----|
| Table 2: Recommended additional reporting requirements | 3 |
| Table 3: New monitoring results for Cheltenham Borough Council (2007) | 6 |
| Table 4: New local developments with potential to affect local air quality in Cheltenham | |
| Borough Council | 9 |
| Table 5: Recommended additional elements with respect to air quality progress reporting ir | ו |
| Cheltenham Borough Council | 11 |
| Table 6: Monitoring locations and details | 16 |
| Table 7: Diffusion tube bias adjustment 2007 (based on LAQM.TG(03)) | 17 |
| Table 8: NO ₂ diffusion tube data for all locations in Cheltenham Borough Council (2007) | 19 |
| Table 9: NO ₂ monitoring data from Cheltenham Borough Council AQMS | 21 |
| Table 10: PM ₁₀ monitoring data from Cheltenham Borough Council AQMS | 22 |
| Table 11: SO ₂ monitoring data from Cheltenham Borough Council AQMS | 22 |
| Table 12: O_3 monitoring data from Cheltenham Borough Council AQMS | 23 |

| List of Figures | Page Number |
|--|---------------|
| Figure 1: Map of air quality monitoring locations in Cheltenham Borough Counc | il15 |
| Figure 2: Comparison of annual mean nitrogen dioxide concentrations for a sele | ect number of |
| locations (2002 - 2007) | 20 |
| Figure 3: Map of NW Cheltenham Extension | 24 |

1: Introduction to Local Air Quality Management Progress Reports

This Air Quality Review and Assessment Progress Report forms part of the Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) system introduced by the Environment Act 1995 ('The Act') and subsequent Regulations. It is a requirement as part of the Act, and follows on from Cheltenham Borough Council's Updating and Screening Assessment (USA) in 2006 and Progress Report in 2007. Cheltenham Borough Council's produced a Progress Report in 2004 which concluded that a Detailed Assessment would be required for nitrogen dioxide (annual mean objective at lower Bath Road). As a result of this, Cheltenham Borough Council began compiling a Detailed Assessment which will be submitted in 2008. The Updating and Screening Assessment 2006 and Progress Report 2007 concluded that a Detailed Assessment (other than the Detailed Assessment currently being compiled) would not be required; therefore this Progress Report fulfils Cheltenham Borough Council's statutory Review and Assessment requirements for 2008.

The overall aim of this document is to report upon the ongoing implementation of Local Air Quality Management in Cheltenham Borough Council, and progress made in achieving concentrations below the air quality objectives. Progress Reports have been introduced into the LAQM system following a detailed evaluation of the first round (Round 1) of local authority Review and Assessment. Progress Reports are to be prepared in years when Cheltenham Borough Council is not undertaking an Updating and Screening Assessment or a Detailed Assessment. It is intended that this Progress Report should assist Cheltenham Borough Council in the following ways:

- Maintaining a profile for LAQM within Cheltenham Borough Council;
- Providing a means for communicating air quality information to Members and the public;
- Maximising the usefulness and interpretation of the monitoring effort being carried out by Cheltenham Borough Council;
- Maximising the value of the investment in monitoring equipment;
- Making the next round of Review and Assessment easier, as there will be a readily available up-to-date source of information;
- Assisting Cheltenham Borough Council to respond to requests for up-to-date information on air quality;
- Providing information to assist with other policy areas, such as transport and land-use planning;
- Providing a ready source of information on air quality for developers carrying out Environmental Assessments for new schemes;
- Demonstrating progress with implementation of any future Air Quality Action Plans required or Gloucestershire's County-wide Air Quality Strategy, and

Copies of this Progress Report have been made available to the Secretary of State, Environment Agency and other neighbouring local authority departments for information as well as to the public and other local stakeholders.

1.1: Overall aims of the Progress Report

This Progress Report has two main aims, as follows:

- To report on progress being made with the implementation of Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) in Cheltenham Borough Council, and
- To report on progress in maintaining concentrations below the air quality objectives.

New monitoring data within Cheltenham Borough Council and new developments that might affect local air quality are the focus of this report, as are the minimum requirements for Review and Assessment Progress Reporting purposes. Each is considered in turn, using the Progress Report Checklist made available on the Review and Assessment website. Table 1 below provides an indication of what is expected of local authorities in their Review and Assessment Progress Report.

Table 1: Minimum Reporting Requirements

| Monitoring data | The minimum requirement is to report monitoring data and trends |
|------------------|---|
| | over recent years. To maximise the value of air quality |
| | monitoring, careful attention should be paid to the type of |
| | equipment used and the locations where the monitors are placed, |
| | as well as the QA/QC and data verification procedures. |
| New developments | A consideration of new development with the potential to affect |
| | local air quality (mainly through the generation of traffic or the |
| | introduction of new relevant exposure in an area of existing 'poor' |
| | air quality), such as residential developments, industrial |
| | processes, retail premises, roads and quarries. |

In addition to the minimum requirements, the government recommends that local authorities report upon a number of additional elements in their Review and Assessment Progress Reports. These additional elements are listed in Table 2.

Table 2: Recommended additional reporting requirements

| Additional monitoring | Projecting the measured concentrations forward to the objective |
|-----------------------|---|
| data | years is helpful in providing early indication of likely exceedences |
| | that may not have been previously identified. Local authorities |
| | may also find it helpful to report on their monitoring for pollutants |
| | not covered by the regulations, e.g. ozone, polycyclic aromatic |
| | hydrocarbons (PAH), as well as other air quality data, i.e. odour |
| | complaints, dust deposition, radiation monitoring. |
| Air Quality Action | Any progress on the implementation of Air Quality Action Plans |
| Plans | where appropriate. |
| Local or Regional Air | Government guidance strongly recommends that all authorities, |
| Quality Strategies | particularly those without AQMAs but who have areas close to the |
| | exceedence levels, should consider drawing up a local air quality |
| | strategy. Progress Reports provide the opportunity for local |
| | authorities to report on the development of local or regional |
| | strategies. Local authorities should report upon the extent to |
| | which the local authority has developed or implemented an Air |
| | Quality Strategy, how to access the strategy and when the |
| | strategy is to next be reviewed (as appropriate). |
| Planning policy | Any relevant updates on planning policies that relate specifically |
| | to air quality. Policies within Local Development Frameworks |
| | (formally Local Plans) determine the local authority approach to |
| | the relationship between planning and air quality, with new |
| | developments judged against these policies. Reference to any |
| | supplementary planning guidance to address air quality matters |
| | should be referenced. |
| Planning applications | A list of planning applications that have the potential to affect local |
| | air quality should be provided. The land-use planning system is |
| | recognised as playing an integral part in improving air quality. |
| | This requires close co-operation between planners and |
| | Environmental Health Officers. Some local authorities have |
| | developed procedures to help ensure that planning applications |
| | that might have impacts on air quality are forwarded to the |
| | Environmental Health department for comment. |
| | Updating and Screening Assessments and Detailed Assessments |
| | should take account of planning applications that have been |

| | approved only. Progress Reports, however, provide the | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | opportunity to log planning applications for new developments to | | | | | | |
| | give a picture of areas where changes may take place and where | | | | | | |
| | combined impacts from several developments may become | | | | | | |
| | important. | | | | | | |
| | The information provided should therefore include a list of any | | | | | | |
| | major developments under consideration that might affect air | | | | | | |
| | quality. Such a list could be based on those applications for | | | | | | |
| | which an Air Quality Assessment has been provided or for which | | | | | | |
| | an Air Quality Assessment has been requested. | | | | | | |
| Local Transport Plans | Progress on implementing those elements of the Local Transport | | | | | | |
| and Strategies | Plan (LTP) that might affect air quality should be provided. | | | | | | |
| | Measures to improve air quality on a local scale are closely | | | | | | |
| | related to the LTP. Local authorities should reference those | | | | | | |
| | measures within the LTP that relate specifically to bringing about | | | | | | |
| | air quality improvements. | | | | | | |
| | Local authorities should also report on any other measures aimed | | | | | | |
| | at addressing transport-related air quality issues that have not | | | | | | |
| | been (or will not be) reported in the LTP Annual Progress Report. | | | | | | |

This chapter provides the necessary information to fulfil the minimum requirements of Cheltenham Borough Council's Progress Report.

2.1: New monitoring results for Cheltenham Borough Council

This report provides a summary of all available monitoring data from 2006 in a format suitable for comparison with the relevant air quality objectives. Cheltenham Borough Council carried out real-time air quality monitoring for NO_X , NO, NO_2 , SO_2 , O_3 and PM_{10} (operated by Casella ETi) in 2007. The Air Quality Monitoring Site (AQMS) is located near to the town centre within 200m of roads carrying between 12,000 and 18,000 vehicles per day (some of these roads form part of the A40 between Oxford and Gloucester). The monitoring site is within a Smoke Control Area and was chosen to represent urban background pollution in Cheltenham. The main local pollution source is road traffic and there are no significant polluting sources (Part A) within 5 miles of the site. There are a number of Part B processes within the area and a hospital boiler within 0.5 km of the monitoring site.

Cheltenham Borough Council manages 21 nitrogen dioxide diffusion tube sites including a triplicate co-location study at the AQMS. The diffusion tubes used are 20% TEA in water supplied and analysed by Bristol Scientific Services. A heavy metal sampler operated by Cheltenham Borough Council at the rear of the Cheltenham Borough Council Municipal buildings was decommissioned in 2005 due to technical problems.

The following information has been included in this report:

- Map and details of the monitoring locations (Appendix 1);
- A summary table of concentrations that allow a comparison with the air quality objectives (see Table 3);
- Table 8 in Appendix 2 presents the NO₂ diffusion tube data for Cheltenham Borough Council. The 2007 annual mean has been bias adjusted (see Table 7) and projected forward to 2010 in accordance with TG(03) Box 6.6. Any locations and annual mean figures shaded red indicate an exceedence of the 40µg/m³ annual mean NO₂ objective; and
- A plot showing trends in concentrations (Figure 2, Appendix 2).

| Carbon | Cheltenham Borough Council does not carry out any carbon monoxide |
|--------------------|---|
| monoxide (CO) | monitoring. The Council's 2006 Updating and Screening Assessment |
| | indicated that this was not a pollutant of concern. Cheltenham Borough |
| | Council will review this pollutant for any changes in their 2009 Updating |
| | and Screening Assessment. |
| Benzene | Cheltenham Borough Council does not carry out any benzene |
| | monitoring. The Council's 2006 Updating and Screening Assessment |
| | indicated that this was not a pollutant of concern. Cheltenham Borough |
| | Council will review this pollutant for any changes in their 2009 Updating |
| | and Screening Assessment. |
| 1,3-butadiene | Cheltenham Borough Council does not carry out any 1,3-butadiene |
| | monitoring. The Council's 2006 Updating and Screening Assessment |
| | indicated that this was not a pollutant of concern. Cheltenham Borough |
| | Council will review this pollutant for any changes in their 2009 Updating |
| | and Screening Assessment. |
| Lead (Pb) | Cheltenham Borough Council does not carry out any lead monitoring. |
| | The Council's 2006 Updating and Screening Assessment indicated that |
| | this was not a pollutant of concern. Cheltenham Borough Council will |
| | review this pollutant for any changes in their 2009 Updating and |
| | Screening Assessment. |
| Nitrogen dioxide | Cheltenham Borough Council carries out monitoring of NO ₂ using both |
| (NO ₂) | diffusion tubes and a continuous monitor. |
| | NO_2 Diffusion Tubes: The diffusion tubes (20% TEA in water) are |
| | supplied and analysed by Bristol Scientific Services. The tubes at all 21 |
| | locations through out the Cheltenham Borough Council area have a |
| | monthly exposure period. A triplicate co-location study at the automatic |
| | monitoring site generated a local 2007 bias adjustment factor of 0.88 |
| | which has been applied to all nitrogen dioxide diffusion tube data. |
| | Further details of the tube locations, bias adjustment and results can be |
| | found in Appendix 1 and 2. |
| | • Study of the diffusion tube results identified four locations that |
| | will exceed the annual mean objective of 40µg/m ³ . Site 1 Boots |
| | Corner (2007 annual mean concentration of 42.2µg/m ³), Site 10 |
| | Tewkesbury Road (2007 annual mean concentration of |
| | |

Table 3: New monitoring results for Cheltenham Borough Council (2007)

| | concentration of 44.8µg/m ³) and Site 16 lower Bath Road (2007 | | | | |
|---------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | annual mean concentrations of 44.6µg/m ³). | | | | |
| | Of the four sites exceeding the annual mean objective only one | | | | |
| | site, Site 16 lower Bath Road, has relevant exposure. This site | | | | |
| | is currently being assessed as part of Cheltenham Borough | | | | |
| | Councils Detailed Assessment to be submitted in 2008. | | | | |
| | NO ₂ Automatic Monitoring: Details of the equipment, site location and | | | | |
| | the influence of local factors are described in Section 2.1 and Appendix | | | | |
| | 1. All results have been ratified and are reported to Cheltenham | | | | |
| | Borough Council by the site operators Casella ETi as quarterly reports. | | | | |
| | The calibration process is described in Appendix 3. Data capture for | | | | |
| | 2007 was 98.6%. The annual mean concentration was $23.4\mu g/m^3$. | | | | |
| | There were no exceedences of the 1-hour mean of 200µg/m ³ objective | | | | |
| | through out 2007. Table 9 illustrates the NO_2 results from the AQMS | | | | |
| | (Appendix 3). | | | | |
| Particulates | Cheltenham Borough Council carry out continuous Particulate PM ₁₀ | | | | |
| (PM ₁₀) | monitoring at the AQMS described in Section 2.1. Data capture for | | | | |
| | 2007 was 97.6%. The annual mean concentration was $15.3\mu g/m^3$. The | | | | |
| | results indicate two exceedence of the 24 hour objective. Table 10 | | | | |
| | illustrates the PM_{10} results from the AQMS (Appendix 3). | | | | |
| Sulphur dioxide | Cheltenham Borough Council carry out continuous sulphur dioxide | | | | |
| (SO ₂) | monitoring at the AQMS described in Section 2.1. Data capture for | | | | |
| | 2007 was 98.5%. The results indicate there were no exceedences of | | | | |
| | the 15 minute, 1 hour, and 24 hour objectives. Table 11 illustrates the | | | | |
| | SO ₂ results from the AQMS (Appendix 3). | | | | |

2.1.1: Monitoring data summary

- Cheltenham Borough Council currently operates a real-time air quality monitoring (AQMS) for NO_X, NO, NO₂, SO₂, and PM₁₀. The AQMS monitoring data for 2007 indicates that there are no exceedences of the air quality objectives for all of the pollutants measured.
- Cheltenham Borough Council also manages 21 NO₂ diffusion tubes sites. Study of the diffusion tube results identified four locations that exceed the annual mean objective of 40µg/m³. These are Site 1 Boots Corner (2007 annual mean concentration of 42.2µg/m³), Site 10 Tewkesbury Road (2007 annual mean concentration of 41.1µg/m³), Site 14 Promenade (2007 annual mean concentration of 44.8µg/m³) and Site 16 lower Bath Road (2007 annual mean concentrations of 44.6µg/m³). Cheltenham Borough Council is currently undertaking a Detailed Assessment for NO₂ at lower Bath Road (following on from the 2004 Progress Report). There is no relevant exposure at the three other locations therefore a Detailed Assessment is not required.
- Nitrogen dioxide concentrations for 2007 are on average approximately 7% higher than concentrations in 2006 and approximately 8% higher than concentrations in 2002. (Appendix 2, Figure 2).

2.2: New local developments

This section considers any new developments and changes that have taken place, or are proposed, that may affect air quality. Such developments are logged so that they can be considered more thoroughly during the next full round of Review and Assessment. Table 4 provides details of relevant new developments in Cheltenham Borough Council.

| Dorodyn obarion | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| New Part A /A2 | Cheltenham Borough Council has identified no new Part |
| | A/A2 developments |
| New Part B | Cheltenham Borough Council has identified no new Part |
| | B developments. |
| New residential development | NW Cheltenham Extension: There is a proposal currently |
| | under consideration for the development of 5000 new |
| | houses to the north-west of Cheltenham. This |
| | development will primarily impact on Tewkesbury Road, |
| | Cheltenham and also on the M5 Junction 10 AQMA |
| | declared by Tewkesbury Borough Council. Cheltenham |
| | Borough Council and Tewkesbury Borough Council are |
| | working closely together to ensure that air quality is |
| | adequately considered for this development. Cheltenham |
| | Borough Council will assess this development in more |
| | detail in their 2009 Updating and Screening Assessment. |
| | A map of the proposed development is in Appendix 4. |
| New retail development | Cheltenham Borough Council has identified no new retail |
| | developments that will significantly change traffic flows in |
| | the area. |
| New road scheme | Cheltenham Borough Council has identified no new road |
| | schemes that will significantly alter traffic flows in the |
| | area. |
| New mineral development | Cheltenham Borough Council has identified no new |
| | mineral developments that will significantly change traffic |
| | flows or impact on local air quality. |
| New landfill development | Cheltenham Borough Council has identified no new |
| | landfill sites that have been granted planning permission, |
| | and which have nearby relevant exposure. |

Table 4: New local developments with potential to affect local air quality in CheltenhamBorough Council

| New mixed-use development | Cheltenha | am | Borough | Council | has | identified | no | new |
|---------------------------|--------------|------|-----------|----------|------|---------------|----|------|
| | mixed us | se c | developme | nts that | will | significantly | ch | ange |
| | traffic flow | vs. | | | | | | |

2.2.1: New development summary

The NW Cheltenham Extension is the most substantial development of concern in Cheltenham Borough Councils administrative area. Cheltenham Borough Council and Tewkesbury Borough Council are working closely together to ensure that air quality is adequately considered for this development. Cheltenham Borough Council will assess this development in more detail in their 2009 Updating and Screening Assessment.

3: Recommended additional elements

Progress made in respect of a County-wide Air Quality Strategy, Gloucestershire's Local Transport Plan and other elements are reported in Table 5 below.

Table 5: Recommended additional elements with respect to air quality progress reporting in Cheltenham Borough Council

| Additional monitoring | Ozone monitoring: Cheltenham Borough Council carry out | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| data | Ozone monitoring at the AQMS. Results indicate that ozone | | | | | |
| | concentrations measured at the AQMS in 2007 exceed the | | | | | |
| | proposed ozone standard 100 µg/m³ as an 8-hour rolling average | | | | | |
| | with 15 exceedences. Table 12 illustrates the ozone results from | | | | | |
| | the AQMS (Appendix 3). | | | | | |
| | Other monitoring: Cheltenham Borough Council does not carry | | | | | |
| | out any other air quality monitoring. | | | | | |
| Air Quality Action | Cheltenham Borough Council was not required to develop or | | | | | |
| Plans | implement an Air Quality Action Plan following Round 1, Round 2 | | | | | |
| | and Round 3 of Review and Assessment work to date. | | | | | |
| Local or Regional Air | The County-wide Gloucestershire Air Quality Strategy was | | | | | |
| Quality Strategies | adopted in 2005 and is providing an important framework for | | | | | |
| | maintaining good air quality and improving upon poor air quality | | | | | |
| | over the years ahead. As the pressures of large-scale | | | | | |
| | developments, housing growth and road-building increases, the | | | | | |
| | strategy contributes to maintaining the quality of the environment | | | | | |
| | and the health and well-being of the public and communities | | | | | |
| | served by the six local authorities of Gloucestershire. Community | | | | | |
| | planning and sustainability planning processes underway within | | | | | |
| | the Cheltenham Borough Council are taking account of local air | | | | | |
| | quality, for the benefit of communities now and in the future | | | | | |
| | across Gloucestershire. | | | | | |
| | The main objectives of the county strategy include: | | | | | |
| | Working toward maintaining the national air quality | | | | | |
| | objectives. | | | | | |
| | Continue working towards reducing ozone concentrations. | | | | | |
| | Comply with the LAQM timetable regarding the submission | | | | | |
| | of reports. | | | | | |
| | Provide a framework for designating, revoking and | | | | | |

| | amending AQMA's within Gloucester and for developing |
|-----------------------|--|
| | AQAP'S. |
| | • Review and seek to improve the key structures and |
| | mechanisms in place regionally to deliver air quality |
| | improvements. |
| | • Ensure that air quality is a key objective in all future LTP's. |
| | • Review and reduce the main constraints to improving air |
| | quality. |
| | Reduce air pollution inline with the underlying principles of |
| | the European Ambient Air Quality Framework Directive |
| | (96/62/EC). |
| | • Maintain good air quality and prevent the deterioration of |
| | air quality. |
| | • Reduce emissions of CO ₂ emitted by road transport. |
| | Consider subsequent reviews of the Strategy in |
| | conjunction with annual reviews of the LTP. |
| | It is expected that the Gloucestershire County-wide Air Quality |
| | Strategy will be reviewed shortly to incorporate new national and |
| | local policies and strategies such as Local Transport Plan and the |
| | revised National Air Quality Strategy 2007 etc. |
| Planning policy | There is no Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG) to address |
| | air quality matters currently available to the Borough, although the |
| | authority has made use of the SPG on Planning and Air Quality |
| | produced by the Bristol, Gloucestershire and Somerset (BG&S) |
| | Environment Protection Committee in 2001. |
| Planning applications | There are no significant planning applications currently submitted |
| | in Cheltenham Borough Council. The council operates |
| | procedures to help ensure that planning applications that might |
| | have impacts on air quality are forwarded to the Environmental |
| | Health department for comment. |
| Local Transport Plan | One of the four key priorities in Gloucestershire County Council's |
| | Local Transport Plan is to improve air quality in areas where it is |
| | significantly affected by pollution from traffic. The Traffic |
| | Management Act and Gloucestershire County Council proposals |
| | to adopt ITS (mainly in the form of UTMC) and to create a traffic |
| | control centre will help reduce congestion by smoothing traffic |

| flows a | and providing better travel information helping people to |
|---------|---|
| avoid | congestion and encourage use of alternative modes. |
| County | wide plans are being developed to: |
| • | Promote 30 minute inter urban services to Gloucester and |
| | Cheltenham from main market towns in the county to help |
| | reduce demand on key radials, some of which run through |
| | air quality hot spots. |
| • | Promote school and business travel plans and |
| | development of Gloucestershire car share scheme. |
| • | Road safety - further 20mph schemes etc - all aimed at |
| | encouraging vulnerable modes. |
| Plans s | specific to the Cheltenham Borough Council Area include: |
| • | Creation of Park and Ride at Uckington with bus priority |
| | measures on Tewkesbury Rd - provide relief on major |
| | radial into Cheltenham. |
| • | Completion of Northern Relief Rd to relieve town centre |
| | roads and enable implementation of next stages of Civic |
| | Pride which aims to remove traffic from town centre core. |
| Improv | ements are already in progress for: |
| • | Traffic management on A46 Shurdington Rd (highly |
| | congested radial). |
| • | Creation of a network of ten minute turn-up-and-go bus |
| | services. |
| Measu | res which have been completed include: |
| • | Expansion of Arle Court Park and Ride and introduction of |
| | further bus lanes - take pressure off A40. |

4: Progress Report conclusions

- From the evidence provided in this report, an exceedence of the annual mean NO₂ objective is likely to occur at four locations (one with relevant exposure). Cheltenham Borough Council has commenced a Detailed Assessment for the location with relevant exposure. It is recommended that this Detailed Assessment be completed and submitted as soon as possible to address the findings of the 2005 and subsequent Review and Assessment reports which all identify this location as a potential problem area.
- No other exceedences of the air quality objectives for PM₁₀ and sulphur dioxide have been identified.
- Fifteen exceedences were identified for the ozone air quality objective. This objective is managed at a national rather than local governance level.
- Cheltenham Borough Council will maintain a watching brief on the NW Cheltenham Extension to ensure that air quality is adequately considered.

Appendix 1: Air Quality Monitoring Locations



Figure 1: Map of air quality monitoring locations in Cheltenham Borough Council

| Ref | Site | Site name | Monitor | Pollutants | Grid |
|-----|-------|----------------------|-----------------|--|-----------------|
| | Class | | | monitored | |
| Α | U1 | Boots Corner | Diffusion tube | NO ₂ | 394944 - 222504 |
| В | U3 | Upper Norwood St | Diffusion tube | NO ₂ | 394483 - 220826 |
| С | U2 | 212 London Road | Diffusion tube | NO ₂ | 395964 - 221343 |
| D | U2 | Church Road P.S. | Diffusion tube | NO ₂ | 392840 - 221878 |
| Е | U2 | Chelsea Close | Diffusion tube | NO ₂ | 395794 - 221383 |
| F | U2 | Old Bakery Prestbury | Diffusion tube | NO ₂ | 397052 - 223882 |
| G | U3 | Cambray | Diffusion tube | NO ₂ | 395073 - 222272 |
| Н | U1 | Bath Road | Diffusion tube | NO ₂ | 394607 - 221143 |
| Ι | U2 | off Tewkesbury Road | Diffusion tube | NO ₂ | 393882 - 223436 |
| J | U1 | Tewkesbury Road | Diffusion tube | NO ₂ | 393840 - 223415 |
| K | U3 | St Georges Street | Diffusion tube | NO ₂ | 394706 - 222745 |
| L | U2 | Fiddlers Green Lane | Diffusion tube | NO ₂ | 391364 - 222629 |
| М | U2 | Miserden Road | Diffusion tube | NO ₂ | 391871 - 222037 |
| Ν | U3 | Promenade | Diffusion tube | NO ₂ | 394706 - 222186 |
| 0 | U2 | St Aidans Close | Diffusion tube | NO ₂ | 392072 - 222733 |
| Ρ | U2 | lower Bath Road | Diffusion tube | NO ₂ | 395103 - 222100 |
| U | U2 | P.E.Way | Diffusion tube | NO ₂ | 391998 - 222163 |
| V | U1 | Westal Green | Diffusion tube | NO ₂ | 393934 - 221603 |
| W | U2 | 54 Church Road, | Diffusion tube | NO ₂ | 394583 - 219723 |
| | | Leckhampton | | | |
| Х | U1 | 116 Gloucester Road | Diffusion tube | NO ₂ | 394796 - 222592 |
| Y | U3 | Town Hall AQ | Continuous | NO _x , SO ₂ , O ₃ , | 394722 - 222031 |
| | | Station | monitoring | PM ₁₀ | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | Diffusion tubes | NO ₂ | |

Table 6: Monitoring locations and details

A2.1: Calculation of the 2007 bias adjustment factor

As previously reported Cheltenham Borough Council operate a triplicate diffusion tube colocation study at its AQMS. Table 7 indicates the bias adjustment factor estimated for 2007 data. This data has also been assessed using the Precision and Accuracy Bias Tool available on the Air Quality Archive Website (<u>http://www.airquality.co.uk/archive/laqm/tools.php</u>).

| Month | Diffusion | Diffusion | Diffusion | Diffusion Tube | AQMS Monthly |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------|--------------|
| | Tube 1 | Tube 2 | Tube 3 | Mean | Mean* |
| | (µg/m³) | (µg/m³) | (µg/m³) | (µg/m³) | (µg/m³) |
| Jan | 30.9 | 22.8 | 24.9 | 26.2 | 22.2 |
| Feb | 26.9 | 18.9 | 24.2 | 23.3 | 26.0 |
| Mar | 26.5 | 29.7 | 27.3 | 27.8 | 22.5 |
| Apr | 19.9 | 19.6 | 15.6 | 18.4 | 20.0 |
| Мау | 16.9 | 18.2 | 16.8 | 17.3 | 23.0 |
| Jun | 32.5 | 31.7 | 32.1 | 32.1 | 19.8 |
| Jul | 18.6 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 17.3 | 14.3 |
| Aug | 21.9 | 21.6 | 22.3 | 21.9 | 16.5 |
| Sept | 22.9 | 23.4 | 23.1 | 23.1 | 18.0 |
| Oct | 33.1 | 32.8 | 31.6 | 32.5 | 30.3 |
| Nov | 41.4 | 37.5 | 39.4 | 39.4 | 35.7 |
| Dec | 40.4 | 41.5 | 41.6 | 41.2 | 32.5 |
| Annual Mean | | | | 26.7 | 23.4 |
| | | | Bias A | djustment Factor | 0.88 |

Table 7: Diffusion tube bias adjustment 2007 (based on LAQM.TG(03))

The co-location study results have been checked for precision and accuracy to confirm the precision of the diffusion tube results, and the accuracy of the automatic monitoring results in relation to data capture. All results had good data capture and a coefficient of variation less than 20% and from these results, a bias adjustment factor of 0.88 was determined. A bias adjustment factor for 2007 was also estimated using the published Bias Adjustment Factors Spreadsheet (v04/08). A factor of 0.77 was estimated from five studies (excluding results from the Cheltenham collocation study). Although in many cases, using an overall correction factor derived from as many co-location studies as possible will provide the 'best estimate' of the 'true' annual mean concentration, it is important to recognise that uncertainty associated with this bias adjusted annual mean remains. One analysis has shown that the uncertainty for tubes bias adjusted in this way is \pm 20% (at 95% confidence level). This compares with a

typical value of \pm 10% for chemiluminesence monitors subject to appropriate QA/QC procedures. Having studied both scenarios regarding which factor to use it has been decided use the 'local' co-location adjustment factor of 0.88 as it is more representative of the local situation.

A2.2: Nitrogen Dioxide Diffusion Tube Data (2007)

Table 8 presents the NO₂ diffusion tube data for Cheltenham Borough Council. The 2007 annual mean has been bias adjusted and projected forward to 2010 in accordance with TG(03) Box 6.6 Pg 6-9. Any locations and annual mean figures shaded in red indicate an exceedence of the $40\mu g/m^3$ annual mean NO₂ objective.

| Site | Tube site | Jan* | Feb* | Mar* | Apr* | May* | Jun* | Jul* | Aug* | Sept* | Oct* | Nov* | Dec* | 2007 [#] | 2010 [#] |
|------|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|----------------------|
| NO | | µg/m³ | ⊯ean µg/m³ |
| А | Boots Corner | 46.5 | 53.0 | 53.5 | 48.3 | - | 42.2 | 35.1 | 39.2 | 42.9 | 58.1 | 66.7 | - | 42.2 | 37.8 |
| В | Upper Norwood Street | 22.9 | 25.6 | 26.6 | 21.2 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 12.5 | 20.4 | 19.9 | 28.9 | 37.3 | 32.4 | 20.3 | 18.1 |
| С | 212 London Road | 35.3 | 35.5 | 28.6 | 23.0 | 22.1 | 22.5 | 19.4 | 22.7 | 22.5 | 41.0 | 42.3 | 38.7 | 25.6 | 22.9 |
| D | Church Road - P.S. | 15.6 | - | 30.8 | 32.3 | 22.4 | 29.0 | 20.9 | 30.2 | 32.7 | 40.4 | 45.5 | 46.4 | 27.4 | 24.5 |
| Е | Chelsea Close | 22.8 | 31.6 | - | 24.0 | 17.0 | 17.6 | 12.9 | 20.0 | 20.7 | 32.0 | 39.2 | 32.3 | 21.4 | 19.1 |
| F | Old Bakery, Prestbury | 42.6 | 38.8 | 17.6 | 39.5 | 35.4 | 39.0 | 34.9 | 35.3 | 34.3 | 48.7 | 46.9 | 51.2 | 33.7 | 30.1 |
| G | Cambray | 36.0 | 23.2 | 36.8 | 29.4 | 24.2 | 23.8 | 17.4 | 23.7 | 27.8 | 42.6 | - | 45.7 | 26.1 | 23.4 |
| Н | Bath Road, Leck. | 41.1 | 46.4 | 42.4 | 37.4 | 37.4 | 38.0 | 26.2 | 36.4 | 40.9 | 45.5 | - | 44.6 | 34.5 | 30.9 |
| I | off Tewkesbury Road | - | 33.5 | - | 19.2 | 22.8 | 26.6 | 22.6 | 21.6 | 25.2 | 33.5 | 42.2 | 36.0 | 24.6 | 22.0 |
| J | Tewkesbury Road | 43.9 | 35.1 | 42.4 | 44.5 | 36.6 | 43.9 | 36.0 | 41.9 | 66.3 | 57.3 | 64.7 | 54.7 | 41.1 | 36.8 |
| K | St Georges Street | 35.8 | 37.8 | 29.7 | 28.4 | 25.9 | 32.8 | - | 26.7 | 32.3 | 46.0 | - | 55.1 | 30.5 | 27.3 |
| L | Fiddlers Green Lane | 24.9 | 19.8 | 27.1 | - | 19.1 | 23.2 | 22.0 | 24.6 | 29.5 | 37.7 | 40.6 | 36.7 | 24.1 | 21.6 |
| Μ | Miserden Road | 36.8 | 39.1 | 36.1 | 39.3 | 24.8 | 29.6 | 29.1 | 32.4 | 41.8 | 41.8 | 57.0 | 45.9 | 32.9 | 29.4 |
| Ν | Promenade | 49.1 | 52.9 | 46.7 | 42.6 | 44.4 | 49.9 | 44.7 | 49.8 | 52.6 | 59.8 | 67.6 | 57.2 | 44.8 | 40.0 |
| 0 | St Aidens Close | 26.0 | 37.9 | 28.9 | 30.2 | - | 22.0 | 15.8 | 24.4 | - | 41.2 | 41.9 | 42.2 | 27.0 | 24.2 |
| Р | 6 Iower Bath Road | 43.9 | 54.0 | 40.1 | 64.6 | 37.6 | 52.8 | 43.3 | 43.6 | 50.4 | 63.6 | 61.4 | 59.6 | 44.6 | 39.9 |
| U | Princess Eliz. R'bout | 35.0 | 42.7 | 35.2 | 38.5 | 26.3 | 32.8 | 27.9 | 31.0 | 36.6 | 42.0 | 51.0 | 42.1 | 32.0 | 28.6 |
| V | Westal Green | 36.9 | 46.6 | 40.9 | 39.7 | 29.2 | 34.6 | 30.7 | 31.9 | 42.4 | 47.2 | 50.4 | 54.4 | 35.2 | 31.5 |
| W | 56 Church Road, Leck. | 26.8 | 21.8 | 29.8 | 27.4 | 20.4 | 24.4 | 17.7 | 20.5 | 26.7 | 36.8 | 36.4 | 37.6 | 23.7 | 21.2 |
| Х | 116 Gloucester Road | 36.2 | 37.9 | 33.7 | 43.9 | 21.9 | 39.5 | 28.4 | 30.7 | 33.0 | 49.9 | 49.2 | 47.9 | 32.8 | 29.3 |
| Y | Monpellier ETI #1 | 30.9 | 26.9 | 26.5 | 19.9 | 16.9 | 32.5 | 18.6 | 21.9 | 22.9 | 33.1 | 41.4 | 40.4 | 24.1 | 21.5 |
| Y | Monpellier ETI #2 | 22.8 | 18.9 | 29.7 | 19.6 | 18.2 | 31.7 | 16.7 | 21.6 | 23.4 | 32.8 | 37.5 | 41.5 | 22.8 | 20.4 |
| Y | Monpellier ETI #3 | 24.9 | 24.2 | 27.3 | 15.6 | 16.8 | 32.1 | 16.7 | 22.3 | 23.1 | 31.6 | 39.4 | 41.6 | 22.9 | 20.5 |

Table 8: NO₂ diffusion tube data for all locations in Cheltenham Borough Council (2007)

* Data in these columns are not bias adjusted # Data in these columns are bias adjusted

A2.3: Assessment of air quality trends

Figure 2: Comparison of annual mean nitrogen dioxide concentrations for a select number of locations (2002 - 2007)



Nitrogen dioxide concentrations for 2007 are on average approximately 7% higher than concentrations in 2006 and approximately 8% higher than concentrations in 2002. The site with the largest increase in concentrations since 2006 was Bath Road (increase of 30%), conversely, the site with the greatest decrease in concentrations since 2006 was Old Bakery Presbury (decrease of 8%). In the longer term, the site with the largest increase in concentrations since 2002 was Westal Green (increase of 35%), conversely, the site with the greatest decrease in concentrations since 2002 was off Tewkesbury Road (decrease of 17%).

Appendix 3: AQMS data from Cheltenham Borough Council

Table 9: NO₂ monitoring data from Cheltenham Borough Council AQMS

| (Monitor Labs ML9841E | Chemiluminescent Analyser) |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|
|-----------------------|----------------------------|

| Month (2007) | NO ₂ monthly mean | Exceedences of the | Data Capture |
|--------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| | (µg/m³) | 1-hr mean (200 μg/m³) | (%) |
| January | 22.2 | 0 | 88.7 |
| February | 26.0 | 0 | 100 |
| March | 22.5 | 0 | 99.9 |
| April | 20.0 | 0 | 1000 |
| Мау | 23.0 | 0 | 99.2 |
| June | 19.8 | 0 | 97.1 |
| July | 14.3 | 0 | 99.8 |
| August | 16.5 | 0 | 99.6 |
| September | 18.0 | 0 | 100 |
| October | 30.3 | 0 | 99.5 |
| November | 35.7 | 0 | 99.9 |
| December | 32.5 | 0 | 99.3 |
| Mean | 23.4 | 0 | 98.6 |

A3.1: Calibration process for nitrogen oxides analyser

The AQMS at Cheltenham uses the daily automatic calibration checks. To validate the data, an automatic daily calibration check is conducted to verify the response of the analyser in reference to the 'zero' and 'span' by introducing a high known concentration of NO gas. The daily calibration check produces an actual zero and actual span response value which is stored on a calibration file on the logger. The calibration results are then used to create a calibration factor, which is used to rescale the data.

| Month (2007) | PM ₁₀ monthly mean | Exceedences of the | Data Capture |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| | (µg/m³) | 24-hr mean (50 μg/m³) | (%) |
| January | 11.1 | 0 | 87.1 |
| February | 17.9 | 0 | 100 |
| March | 19.4 | 1 | 100 |
| April | 20.0 | 0 | 100 |
| May | 13.8 | 0 | 100 |
| June | 15.5 | 0 | 96.7 |
| July | 11.4 | 0 | 100 |
| August | 13.1 | 0 | 100 |
| September | 13.7 | 0 | 100 |
| October | 15.6 | 0 | 87.1 |
| November | 16.3 | 1 | 100 |
| December | 16.2 | 0 | 100 |
| Mean | 15.3 | 2 | 97.6 |

| (TEOM – results have been | n adjusted by a factor of 1.3) |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|

Table 11: SO₂ monitoring data from Cheltenham Borough Council AQMS

| (Monitor | Labs | ML9850B | UV | Flourescence | Analyser |
|----------|------|---------|----|--------------|----------|
|----------|------|---------|----|--------------|----------|

| Month (2007) | SO ₂ Monthly Mean | Exceede | ences of | Data Capture | |
|--------------|------------------------------|----------|----------|--------------|------|
| | | 1-hr and | 24-hr ob | jectives | |
| | (µg/m³) | 15-min | 1-hr | 24-hr | (%) |
| January | 3.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88.7 |
| February | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| March | 3.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.5 |
| April | 4.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| May | 3.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98.1 |
| June | 5.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97.2 |
| July | 3.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| August | 4.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98.9 |
| September | 3.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| October | 3.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.6 |
| November | 3.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| December | 5.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Mean | 4.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98.5 |

| Month (2007) | O ₃ monthly mean | Exceedences of the | Data Capture |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| | (µg/m³) | 8-hr mean (100 µg/m³) | (%) |
| January | 55 | 0 | 88.2 |
| February | 40 | 0 | 100 |
| March | 59 | 2 | 100 |
| April | 70 | 10 | 100 |
| May | 56 | 2 | 98.9 |
| June | 43 | 0 | 98.9 |
| July | 36 | 0 | 100 |
| August | 37 | 1 | 98.9 |
| September | 35 | 0 | 100 |
| October | 30 | 0 | 98.9 |
| November | 31 | 0 | 100 |
| December | 37 | 0 | 100 |
| Mean | 44.1 | 15 | 98.7 |

Table 12: O_3 monitoring data from Cheltenham Borough Council AQMS

(Monitor Labs ML9810B Ozone Analyser)



Appendix 4: Map of proposed NW Cheltenham Extension area