Name Melanie and Bulano	
Address 15 Collum End Rese, Leachampton (include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)	
(include delete end Rise Lecale end Planning)	Application 13/01605/04
(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)  (a) Given the proposed development	=3 OPA
(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONE	************************
(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projection.	•
permitted until the Proposed development, cerisus and ONS pro-	•

- Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport,
- The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would created by this development together with the other proposed development together with the other proposed development. application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

Reed 13 E

- I am personally affected / deeply soneerned by the health and accident risks from the traπic
- queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development. my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.

Other comments: I cannot see how houses can be built locally unfhout making the traffic situation at peak times worse + affecting... residents ability to travel too Mary povents at leachampter.

Princary School refuse to let their children walk to school alone because of danger from the hattic.

Our eldest daughter was unable to get a place at local stress faced.

But days camprehensive schools because they were hilly where will new residents send their children?

Name Mrs Ruth Kemp		
Name Mrs Ruth Kemp  Address 9 Hillary Road Chel  (include, delete or modify the following and	Ref: Planning Applicati	on 13/01605/OUT
(include, delete or modify the following state	ALLIAM, GL53 9LB	BUILT
y and proposed develope	nent on the fatt.	Recd 1 6 DEC 2013
(a) Given the evidence from the 2011 ce	insus and ONS projections on future hou	olo

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

<ul> <li>(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and a queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development (e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on visuality value.</li> </ul>	a. I strongly support the decision of the strongly support the strongly suppor
Other comments: these	concerns.
win Thanks for censiality	***************************************
Other comments:  With Thanks for centialing these  Season's greeting!	
***************************************	
Rah Kemp	
***************************************	***************************************
***************************************	

PUBLIC CONSULTATION ON OUTLINE PLANNING APPLICAT 13/01605/OUT - Official End Date 18 <sup>th</sup> OCTOBER (BUT prob	TION KIDNAPPERS LANE pably to January 2014)
Comments & Observations on 650 House Application on Leckha	ampton Green Fields
This is a very special area locals we have said 'N8', blue in the face	to all the
NO NO	
	<sup>₹∞0</sup> 1 6 DEC 2013
Name Langery Day 9153.0 Lu	ENVIRONMENT  Ref. 13/01605/OUT
1	

17 DEC 2013

PUBLIC CONSULTATION ON OUTLINE PLANNING APPLICATION KIDNAPPERS LANE 13/01605/OUT - Official End Date 18<sup>th</sup> OCTOBER (BUT probably to Janu<del>ary 2014)</del>

Comments & Observations on 650 House Application on Leckhampton Green Fields
I believe this proposal is completely
inappropriate for a site so close to the
HONBOLINA less than halt a mile from
the site I am not allowed to extend
my house because it falls within the
THONK SO how can it be permissible
to such a development to totally change
the character of the area? In addition the
The character of the area? In addition the whole area is junded huge strain from traffic
and congestion without an extra 650 dwellings
Name Bruce Alobott Ref 13/01605/0UT
Address Broadclyst, Church Rd, GL53 005
0, 0, 0, 0

Name of the second seco	NA PERSONAL PROPERTY.
Name Ref: Planning Application 13/01605/OUT	
Address Non Cyleric KIDNAPPORS LAW E CHETTON HOW GI	SZCNIA
(include, delete or modify the following statements as appropriate)	ع بالله
I wish to object to the proposed development on the following grounds:	

- (a) Given the evidence from the 2011 census and ONS projections on future housing need in Cheltenham, this proposed development is unnecessary. The application is premature and must not be permitted until the JCS is finalised and the big uncertainties over housing need, traffic and transport, schooling and other infrastructure have been properly resolved.
- (b) The traffic congestion created by this development together with the other proposed developments south of Cheltenham would create horrendous traffic queues in the peak periods. The planning application offers no solution to the grave traffic problems.
- (c) The suggestions made in the application for preventing traffic overload and gridlock in Church Road are tenuous. They are likely to promote accidents and even if they work they will cause big traffic increases elsewhere, such as in Moorend Park Road.

Replac Donald

(d) I am personally affected / deeply concerned by the health and accident risks from the traffic queues and pollution that would result from the proposed development.
(e) I / my family greatly value the Leckhampton fields for recreation. I strongly support the case made in the LWWH and Shurdington Concept Plan for preserving the land as a Local Green Space for its amenity value, footpaths, landscape, wildlife, history and impact on views from Leckhampton Hill.
Other comments:
***************************************
***************************************