

From: Torkildsen, Rohan <Rohan.Torkildsen@HistoricEngland.org.uk>
Sent: 13 December 2018 11:19
To: John Rowley
Cc: Barge, Melanie; Souter, Samuel
Subject: RE: Comments on the Cheltenham Plan

Hi John, thank you for your email.

Historic England stands by its previous formal response to the Plan's proposals, although the NPPF references made have now changed.

However, we do acknowledge and welcome CBCs commitment to providing the missing essential independent and robust evidence before the Hearing Sessions. We presume that this will genuinely be used to inform the principle of development and or its form and quantum – it may suggest the possibility of more new homes! We shall see.

Although we appreciate the tight timescales involved we do hope that the reports will be made available as soon as possible to ensure we are able to constructively engage in an efficient Examination of the Plan.

Please note that I have CC'd my Inspector colleagues who have previously been involved in Oak Rise and Old Gloucester Road and are likely to be called on to engage in the Hearing Sessions if required.

It may be helpful if you were to forward this clarification to the Examination's programme manager for the Inspector's information.

Sincere regards

Rohan

Rohan Torkildsen BaHons DipUD MRTPI
South West Historic Places Principal
Historic Environment Planning Adviser South West/West Midlands
Planning Group
Historic England
Direct Dial: 0117 975 0679 (X 2279)/0121 625 6840. Mobile: 0791 705 0513
www.historicengland.org.uk

From: John.Rowley@cheltenham.gov.uk [<mailto:John.Rowley@cheltenham.gov.uk>]
Sent: 12 December 2018 12:41
To: Torkildsen, Rohan
Subject: RE: Comments on the Cheltenham Plan

Rohan,

Following on from our recent conversation I have attached a revised response to your representation. I have included extra information on the sites and mentioned the heritage reports which we are commissioning for Oakhurst Rise and Old Gloucester Road.

Are you able to confirm that this allays your concerns on the condition that the heritage reports are acceptable and that their results don't show any unacceptable harm?

Kind regards
John

From: Torkildsen, Rohan [<mailto:Rohan.Torkildsen@HistoricEngland.org.uk>]
Sent: 10 December 2018 12:54
To: John Rowley
Subject: RE: Comments on the Cheltenham Plan

Thank you John

From: John.Rowley@cheltenham.gov.uk [mailto:John.Rowley@cheltenham.gov.uk]

Sent: 10 December 2018 12:45

To: Torkildsen, Rohan

Subject: RE: Comments on the Cheltenham Plan

Rohan,

Thanks for the useful conversation earlier this morning. I've summarised what we agreed below. If you have any comments or corrections please let me know:

Two of the housing allocation sites in the Cheltenham Plan require more evidence to be undertaken to assess the principle and capacity of development with regards to impact on the significance of nearby heritage assets. These sites are Oakhurst Rise and Old Gloucester Road. The assessment should comply with Historic England's Historic Environment Good Practice Advice in Planning: 3. The work will be undertaken by external consultants and the brief for this work will be agreed by Historic England.

Clarification will also be provided on the potential impact on heritage assets of the sites at Monkscroft Primary, Bouncers' Lane and Prior's Farm. This work will be undertaken in house but will address the contribution of the sites to the significance of adjacent heritage assets.

Kind regards
John

John Rowley
Planning Policy Team Leader
Cheltenham Borough Council
Tel. 01242 264180
