

LAND AT OAKLEY FARM, CHELTENHAM

ERRATA STATEMENT ON EDUCATIONAL CONTRIBUTIONS

ON BEHALF OF ROBERT HITCHINS LIMITED

Prepared by: NEIL TILEY Assoc RTPI

Pegasus Group

Pegasus House | Querns Business Centre | Whitworth Road | Cirencester | Gloucestershire | GL7 1RT
T 01285 641717 | **F** 01285 642348 | **W** www.pegasuspg.co.uk

Birmingham | Bracknell | Bristol | Cambridge | Cirencester | East Midlands | Leeds | Liverpool | London | Manchester

PLANNING | **DESIGN** | **ENVIRONMENT** | **ECONOMICS**

1. BACKGROUND

- 1.1 My name is Neil Tiley. My qualifications and experience are set out in my Proof of Evidence on Educational Contributions.

- 1.2 The evidence which I have prepared and provide for this appeal (APP/B1605/W/21/3273053) is true and has been prepared and is given in accordance with the guidance of my professional institution irrespective of by whom I am instructed and I confirm that the opinions expressed are my true and professional opinions.

2. INTRODUCTION

- 2.1 I have identified a number of non-material errors or issues in need of clarification within my respective proofs, which I address in this Errata Statement in the interests of providing clarity for the inquiry.

3. BACKGROUND

- 3.1 In paragraph 1.3 of my original Proof of Evidence, I identify that I worked for Wiltshire LEA and Wiltshire Council. This should have stated that I worked for Wiltshire County Council and Wiltshire Council both of whom operate as an LEA. It should however not be inferred that I worked in the educational departments of these organisations, although I did assist the educational departments in preparing forecasts within my roles as Senior Research Assistant and Demographer.

4. TYPOGRAPHICAL ERRORS

- 4.1 In Table 6.4 of my original Proof of Evidence, I record that the LEA forecast that there will be 270 pupils in Charlton Kings Primary Academy when in actual fact the LEA forecast that there will only be 262 pupils in CDG9. This typographical error has fed through the remaining calculations in my Proof¹ and to the Statement of Common Ground. However, given that the resultant available capacity has been agreed as being correct in paragraph 2.17 of the Statement of Common Ground, and as this works in the favour of the LEA, I do not propose to resile from this position, but merely note that the capacity will be slightly greater than agreed.
- 4.2 In the final sentence of paragraph 7.2 of my original Proof of Evidence, it should read as "*...namely those of the IPS which were informed by the Cognisant Study...*" rather than "*...namely those of the IDP which were informed by the Cognisant Study...*".
- 4.3 In the top line of page 71 of my original Proof of Evidence, it should read as "*...and 39% did*" rather than as "*...and 29% did*".
- 4.4 In paragraph 7.2 of my Addendum, the second bullet point should read as "*...in the period 2020-31...*" rather than "*...in the period 2020-25...*".

¹ And as such the available capacity is under-estimated in Table 6.4, paragraph 6.33, Table 6.5 and paragraph 6.51.

5. MISCALCULATIONS

5.1 In Table 8.1 of my original Proof of Evidence, it is identified that there would be a need for 42.5 secondary school places if schools had a 95% occupancy limit, the capacity was assessed in aggregate across the planning area and using the ppr's of the IPS (the sixth row of the fifth column). This has been miscalculated. It should have reflected the need for 38.4 places that arise from the ppr's of the IPS against an available capacity for 0 places (as set out in the second and third columns) and should therefore be 38.4 rather than 42.5. This error has fed through to Table 9.1 of my original Proof and Table 11.1 of my Rebuttal. I therefore correct all of these tables on the following pages.

5.2 This has no material effect on the positions of either party, as neither party relies upon this scenario.

Corrected Table 8.1 of my original Proof

Occupancy rate	Area of assessment (minimum available primary, secondary and sixth form places)	Pupil product ratio (primary, secondary and sixth form pupils arising)	Primary	Secondary	Sixth form	Total	
95% occupancy	Aggregated capacity of agreed schools (0,0,0)	IDP2014 (62.7,27.3,4.0)	62.7	27.3	4.0	94.1	
		IPS (87.0, 38.4, 13.6)	87.0	38.4	13.6	139.0	
		NEMS (38.2,8.7,6.1)	38.2	8.7	6.1	53.0	
	Aggregated capacity across the planning area (40,0,0)	IDP2014 (62.7,27.3,4.0)	22.7	27.3	4.0	54.1	
		IPS (87.0, 38.4, 13.6)	47.0	42.5 38.4	13.6	103.1 99.0	
		NEMS (38.2,8.7,6.1)	0.0	8.7	6.1	14.8	
	Individual schools (68,0,59)	IDP2014 (62.7,27.3,4.0)	0.0	27.3	0.0	27.3	
		IPS (87.0, 38.4, 13.6)	19.0	38.4	0.0	57.4	
		NEMS (38.2,8.7,6.1)	0.0	8.7	0.0	8.7	
	100% occupancy	Aggregated capacity of agreed schools (124,0,0)	IDP2014 (62.7,27.3,4.0)	0.0	27.3	4.0	31.3
			IPS (87.0, 38.4, 13.6)	0.0	38.4	13.6	52.0
			NEMS (38.2,8.7,6.1)	0.0	8.7	6.1	14.8
Aggregated capacity across the planning area (104,0,0)		IDP2014 (62.7,27.3,4.0)	0.0	27.3	4.0	31.3	
		IPS (87.0, 38.4, 13.6)	0.0	38.4	13.6	52.0	
		NEMS (38.2,8.7,6.1)	0.0	8.7	6.1	14.8	
Individual schools (124,0,94)		IDP2014 (62.7,27.3,4.0)	0.0	27.3	0.0	27.3	
		IPS (87.0, 38.4, 13.6)	0.0	38.4	0.0	38.4	
		NEMS (38.2,8.7,6.1)	0.0	8.7	0.0	8.7	

Corrected Table 9.1 of my original Proof

Occupancy rate	Area assessment of	Pupil product ratio	Primary	Secondary	Sixth form	Total
95% occupancy	Aggregated capacity of agreed schools	IDP2014	£946,773.12	£533,049.00	£91,963.51	£1,571,785.63
		IPS	£1,313,067.91	£748,805.80	£312,042.72	£2,373,916.43
		NEMS	£576,874.01	£169,564.63	£140,487.46	£886,926.10
	Aggregated capacity across the planning area	IDP2014	£343,133.12	£533,049.00	£91,963.51	£968,145.63
		IPS	£709,427.91	£828,325.00 £748,805.80	£312,042.72	£1,849,795.63 £1,770,276.43
		NEMS	£0.00	£169,564.63	£140,487.46	£310,052.09
	Individual schools	IDP2014	£0.00	£533,049.00	£0.00	£533,049.00
		IPS	£286,879.91	£748,805.80	£0.00	£1,035,685.71
		NEMS	£0.00	£169,564.63	£0.00	£169,564.63
100% occupancy	Aggregated capacity of agreed schools	IDP2014	£0.00	£533,049.00	£91,963.51	£625,012.51
		IPS	£0.00	£748,805.80	£312,042.72	£1,060,848.52
		NEMS	£0.00	£169,564.63	£140,487.46	£310,052.09
	Aggregated capacity across the planning area	IDP2014	£0.00	£533,049.00	£91,963.51	£625,012.51
		IPS	£0.00	£748,805.80	£312,042.72	£1,060,848.52
		NEMS	£0.00	£169,564.63	£140,487.46	£310,052.09
	Individual schools	IDP2014	£0.00	£533,049.00	£0.00	£533,049.00
		IPS	£0.00	£748,805.80	£0.00	£748,805.80
		NEMS	£0.00	£169,564.63	£0.00	£169,564.63

Corrected Table 11.1 of my Rebuttal Proof

Occupancy rate	Area assessment of	Pupil product ratio	Primary	Secondary	Sixth form	Total
95% occupancy	Aggregated capacity of agreed schools	IDP2014	£938,178.07	£528,180.72	£91,128.27	£1,557,487.07
		IPS	£1,301,147.54	£741,967.04	£309,208.68	£2,352,323.26
		NEMS	£571,637.00	£168,016.02	£139,211.52	£878,864.54
	Aggregated capacity across the planning area	IDP2014	£340,018.07	£528,180.72	£91,128.27	£959,327.07
		IPS	£702,987.54	£820,760.00 £741,967.04	£309,208.68	£1,832,956.22 £1,754,163.26
		NEMS	£0.00	£168,016.02	£139,211.52	£307,227.54
	Individual schools	IDP2014	£0.00	£528,180.72	£0.00	£528,180.72
		IPS	£284,275.54	£741,967.04	£0.00	£1,026,242.58
		NEMS	£0.00	£168,016.02	£0.00	£168,016.02
100% occupancy	Aggregated capacity of agreed schools	IDP2014	£0.00	£528,180.72	£91,128.27	£619,309.00
		IPS	£0.00	£741,967.04	£309,208.68	£1,051,175.72
		NEMS	£0.00	£168,016.02	£139,211.52	£307,227.54
	Aggregated capacity across the planning area	IDP2014	£0.00	£528,180.72	£91,128.27	£619,309.00
		IPS	£0.00	£741,967.04	£309,208.68	£1,051,175.72
		NEMS	£0.00	£168,016.02	£139,211.52	£307,227.54
	Individual schools	IDP2014	£0.00	£528,180.72	£0.00	£528,180.72
		IPS	£0.00	£741,967.04	£0.00	£741,967.04
		NEMS	£0.00	£168,016.02	£0.00	£168,016.02